On the cover are the images of ten men who have worked with plutonium and now carry measurable body burdens of this radioactive element. Some of those individuals were at Los Alamos during the days of the Manhattan Project, and some of them are here today. In this volume on radiation protection and the human radiation experiments, these men share their experiences with plutonium, the stories of their accidents, and their perspectives on the human plutonium injection experiments. We thank them for their generosity. No doubt their stories will help others who come into similar circumstances.

As much as the plutonium injection experiments were flawed from an ethical standpoint, they did provide the bulk of the data that are now used to estimate the seriousness of an accidental intake of plutonium. Those data relate the amount of plutonium excreted in the urine to the amount retained in the body. The graph (above right) shows data points for the amount of plutonium in the urine versus time for one individual. The fit to that data made using the maximum-entropy method is shown in red. Fifty-year committed doses in rem are calculated from the urine results using biokinetic models of the time-dependent distribution of plutonium in the body. Those models are based on data gathered from the plutonium injectees as well as from the tissues of deceased plutonium workers.

Because plutonium is an ongoing responsibility of this Laboratory, the protection of those who handle that dangerous material is also our ongoing responsibility. This volume is dedicated to openness and to the proper handling of our role in plutonium work.
I. Radiation, Cancer, and Risk—Three Primers

Ionizing Radiation—It’s Everywhere! 1
Roger Eckhardt

There are a variety of myths and misconceptions about the ionizing radiation that surrounds and penetrates us all. Dispel a few of these by taking a leisurely tour of radiation and its properties, of the natural and man-made sources of ionizing radiation, and of the way doses are calculated. End your tour by estimating your own annual dose.

Radiation, Cell Cycle, and Cancer 50
Richard J. Reynolds and Jay A. Schecker

By damaging DNA and inducing genetic mutations, ionizing radiation can potentially initiate a cell on the road to cancer. We review what is currently known about regulation of cellular reproduction, DNA damage and repair, cellular defense mechanisms, and the specific “cancer-causing” genes that are susceptible to ionizing radiation.

Radiation and Risk: A Hard Look at the Data 90
Mario E. Schillaci

This rapid survey of the data on radiation effects in humans shows that high radiation doses increase the risk of cancer, whereas the effects of low doses are very difficult to detect. The hypothetical risks at low doses, which are estimated from the atomic-bomb survivors, are compared to the low-dose data so that the reader can assess the present level of uncertainty.

II. On the Front Lines—A Roundtable with Los Alamos Plutonium Workers Past and Present 124

The Participants
Setting the Stage in Chicago
Working with Plutonium
   The Early Years—1944-1946 in D Building
   Middle Years—1952-1978 at DP Site
   Modern Times—1980 to the Present at TA-55
Accidents with Plutonium
Follow-up Studies, Expert Opinions, and Future Prospects
Opinions about the Plutonium Injection Experiments

As part of the openness initiative, ten individuals who have worked with plutonium during various periods in the Laboratory’s history were asked to share their experiences including their accidental intakes. Their frankness, their courage, and their pride in their accomplishments are an example for all of us. The history and prognosis of people who have had plutonium exposures is discussed by the Laboratory’s leading epidemiologist.

Plutonium Metal: The First Gram 162
Ed Hammel

In 1944, two participants in the roundtable above made the first plutonium sample large enough to be analyzed for its physical properties.

The Future of Plutonium Technology 168
Dana Christensen

Activities at TA-55, the Laboratory’s plutonium facility, are more challenging than ever even though the cold war is over.
III. The Human Radiation Experiments

Introduction to the Human Studies Project 174
William C. Inkret

The Human Plutonium Injection Experiments 176
William Moss and Roger Eckhardt
Wright Haskell Langham 1911-1972
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Radium: The Benchmark for Internal Alpha Emitters 224

A True Measure of Plutonium Exposure: The Human Tissue Analysis Program at Los Alamos 234
James F. McInroy

Authorization and Collection of Tissue

The Cecil Kelley Criticality Accident:
The Origin of the Los Alamos Human Tissue Analysis Program 250

The Karen Silkwood Story: What We Know at Los Alamos 252

Tracer Studies at Los Alamos and the Birth of Nuclear Medicine 256
George L. Voelz and Donald Petersen
as told to Debra A. Daugherty

Child Volunteers: One Dad Tells the Story 266
Donald Petersen

Los Alamos Radiation Detectors for Biology and Medicine 274

Ethics: “Ethical Harm” and the Plutonium Injection Experiments 280
Michael S. Yesley

The Final Report of President Clinton’s Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments is reviewed herein with an emphasis on ethics and informed consent.

Art and Photo Credits 282